United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

November 18, 2022 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

OSIRIS EDWARD		§	CIVIL ACTION NO
LOPEZ,		§	4:22-cv-03399
	Plaintiff,	§	
		§	
		§	
vs.		§	JUDGE CHARLES ESKRIDGE
		§	
		§	
ETA, et al,		§	
	Defendants.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

Pending is a Memorandum and Recommendation by Magistrate Judge Christina A. Bryan dated October 26, 2022. Dkt 9. No party objected.

The district court reviews *de novo* those conclusions of a magistrate judge to which a party has specifically objected. See FRCP 72(b)(3) & 28 USC § 636(b)(1)(C); see also *United States v Wilson*, 864 F2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir 1989, *per curiam*). The district court may accept any other portions to which there's no objection if satisfied that no clear error appears on the face of the record. See *Guillory v PPG Industries Inc*, 434 F3d 303, 308 (5th Cir 2005), citing *Douglass v United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir 1996, *en banc*); see also FRCP 72(b) advisory committee note (1983).

No clear error appears upon review and consideration of the Memorandum and Recommendation, the record, and the applicable law.

The Memorandum and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is ADOPTED as the Memorandum and Order of this Court. Dkt. 9.

The emergency motion by Plaintiff Osiris Edward Lopez for initial satisfaction, protective order, and post-judgment relief is DENIED. Dkt 8.

All claims asserted by Plaintiff Osiris Edward Lopez in this case are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

A final judgment will issue by separate order. SO ORDERED.

Signed on November 17, 2022, at Houston, Texas.

Hon. Charles Eskridge
United States District Judge